



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,030	12/31/2003	Jud C. Staniar	2348.0050000	9242
53636 7590 06/10/2009 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934				
EXAMINER				
HOLLY, JOHN H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3694				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/10/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,030	STANIAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN H. HOLLY	3694	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN H. HOLLY.

(3) JASON EISENBERG.

(2) KIRSTEN L. APPLE.

(4) JOSEPH MUTSEHELKNAUS.

Date of Interview: 03 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Alexandra J. Adrian Pub. # US 2005/0027654 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the proposed amendments to claim 1 to overcome the 101 rejection. Claim 1 was also discussed as it related to the Alexandra J. Adrian prior art reference (Pub. # US 2005/0027654 A1). The attorney argued that the Alexandra J. Adrian reference does not teach all of the limitations of claim 1, for example, receiving a discount if paid early. Net 10, 15. No agreement was met.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kirsten S Apple/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694	/JOHN H. HOLLY/ Examiner, Art Unit 3694
--	--